Diagnosis and management of idiopathic drug-induced and severe neutropenia and agranulocytosis: What should the lung specialist know?
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we report and discuss the diagnosis and management of severe neutropenia and agranulocytosis (neutrophil count of < 0.5 x 10^9/L) related to non-chemotherapy drug intake, called “idosyncratic”. Lung specialists may be faced with these events. In fact, all classes of drugs have been implicated (“causative”) and clinical manifestations may include pneumonia or related disorders. Recently, several prognostic factors have been identified that may be helpful when identifying frailty patients. Old age (>65 years), septicemia or shock, metabolic disorders such as renal failure, and a neutrophil count below 0.1 x 10^9/L have been consensually accepted as poor prognostic factors for hematological recovery. In this potentially life-threatening disorder, modern management with broad-spectrum antibiotics and hematopoietic growth factors (particularly G-CSF), is likely to improve the prognosis. Thus, with appropriate management, the mortality rate of idiosyncratic drug-induced severe neutropenia and agranulocytosis is currently around 5%.

Introduction

Severe neutropenia is characterized by a profound decrease of circulating granulocytes, also called agranulocytosis in case of a neutrophil count of < 0.5 x 10^9/L (associated or not with fever)1,2. Schultz first introduced the term “agranulocytosis” in 1922, for cases of acute and severe pharyngeal infections, associated with a lack of granulocytes in the blood in relation with drug intake. Such unpredictable event (named “idosyncratic”) is typically serious, with around 50% of cases exhibiting severe sepsis and a mortality rate of 10-20% these twenty later years1,2. As in neutropenia related to chemotherapy, modern management of such event may reduce the infection-related mortality. All practitioners as the lung specialists may be informed and attentive of this potential life-threatening event, event in case of “daily” or “everyday” medication exposure, as: antibiotics (beta-lactams, cotrimoxazole), antithyroid drugs, neuroleptic, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, and platelet aggregation inhibitors.

In the present paper, we report and discuss the diagnosis and management of idiopathic drug-induced (or drug-associated) severe neutropenia and agranulocytosis.
Search strategy

A literature search was performed on the PubMed database of the US National Library of Medicine and on Scholar Google. We searched for articles published between January 2010 and February 2017, using the following key words or associations: “drug-induced neutropenia”, “drug-induced agranulocytosis”, “idiosyncratic neutropenia” and “idiosyncratic agranulocytosis”; restrictions included: English- or French-language publications; published from Jan. 1, 2010, to Feb. 31, 2017; human subjects; clinical trials, review articles or guidelines. All of the English and French abstracts were reviewed by at least two senior researchers from our work group.

American Society of Hematology educational books, textbooks of Hematology and Internal medicine, and information gleaned from international meetings were also used.

Definition

Most, but not all cases of neutropenia and agranulocytosis defined at least by a neutrophil count of <0.5 x 10⁹/L occur as a result of exposure to drugs [3]. All drugs may be the causative agents, particularly: chemotherapy, immune modulator agents or biotherapies. Other daily drugs may be also more rarely incriminated. Such event is called “idiosyncratic drug-induced” or “idiosyncratic drug-associated” neutropenia and agranulocytosis⁴. Either the drug itself or one of its metabolites may be the causative agent.

The recommended criteria for diagnosing blood cytopenias and for implicating a drug as a causative agent in neutropenia are derived from an international consensus meeting⁵⁶. These criteria are outlined in table 1. As idiosyncratic severe neutropenia and agranulocytosis are life-threatening conditions, no patient was re-challenged with the incriminated drug (“theoretical method of reference”).

Epidemiology

Idiosyncratic severe neutropenia or agranulocytosis is a rare disorder. In Europe, the annual incidence of such events is between 1.6 and 9.2 cases per million population⁷⁻⁸. In the USA, reported ranges from 2.4 to 15.4 per million per year⁹. In our experience (observational study in a French hospital), from 1996 to 2017, the annual incidence of symptomatic idiosyncratic agranulocytosis remained stable, with approximately 6 cases per million population [8]. It’s important to note that the incidence remains unchanged, despite the withdrawn of incriminated drugs (which carry a high risk) and increased levels of medical awareness and pharmacovigilance⁴. This is in relation with the development of neutropenia and agranulocytosis induced by new drugs.

Differences in the observed incidence may be due to different methods/inclusion criteria used in the studies published¹². Geographic variability in incidence is related to both differences in reporting and medication usage but could also suggest genetic differences in susceptibility. Older patients are thought to be at greater risk for to drug-induced neutropenia, probably because of increased medication use².

As we have shown below, everyday medication can be involved in such blood event. It is therefore very important to educate all practitioners, including those practicing in speciality as lung specialists, and pharmacists, those in hospitals or in the city.

Causative drugs

For practitioners and pharmacists, it is important to keep in mind that almost all classes of drugs have been implicated (“causative”), but for the majority the risk appears to be very small (table 2)¹²⁻¹⁴. However, for drugs such as antithyroid drugs, ticlopidine, clozapine, phenothiazines, sulfasalazine, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (cotrimoxazole), and dipyrone or sulfasalazine, the risk may be higher¹⁶⁻¹¹. For antithyroid drugs (propyl-thiouracil and méthimazole), a risk of 3 per 10,000 users has been reported¹. For ticlopidine, the risk is more than 100-fold higher⁹. Clozapine induces agranulocytosis in almost 1% of patients, particularly in the first three months of treatment, with older patients and females being at a higher risk¹¹.

In our single center cohort (n=203), the most frequent causative drugs are: antibiotics (49.3%), especially

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition of agranulocytosis</th>
<th>Criteria of drug imputability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil count &lt;0.5 x 10⁹/L ± existence of a fever and/or any signs of infection</td>
<td>• Onset of agranulocytosis during treatment or within 7 days of exposure to the drug, with a complete recovery in neutrophil count of more than 1.5 x 10⁹/L within one month of discontinuing the drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Recurrence of agranulocytosis upon re-exposure to the drug (this is rarely observed, as the high risk of mortality contra-indicates new administration of the drug)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Exclusion criteria: history of congenital neutropenia or immune mediated neutropenia, recent infectious disease (particularly recent viral infection), recent chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy and/or immunotherapy* and existence of an underlying haematological disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Immunoglobulins, interferon, anti-TNF antibodies, anti-CD20 (rituximab)...
Table 2: Drugs implicated in the occurrence of agranulocytosis [1-3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family drug</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analgesics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs</td>
<td>Acetaminophen, acid acetylsalicylic (aspirin), aminopyrine, benoxaprofen, diclofenac, diflunisal, dipyrone, fenoprofen, indomethacin, ibuprofen, naproxen, phenylbutazone, piroxicam, sulindac, tenoxicam, tolmetin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics, hypnosedatives and antidepresants</td>
<td>Amoxapine, chlorpromazine, chloridiazepoxide, clozapine, diazepam, fluoxetine, haloperidol, levopromazine, mirtazapine, imipramine, indalpin, meprobamate, mianserin, olanzapine, phenobarbital, phenothiazines, risperidone, tiapride, ziprasidone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiepileptic drugs</td>
<td>Carbamazepine, ethosuximide, lamotrigine, phenytoin, trimethadione, valproic acid (sodium valproate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithyroid drugs</td>
<td>Carbimazole, methimazole, potassium perchlorate, potassium thiocyanate, propylthiouracil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular drugs</td>
<td>Acet acid acetylsalicylic, amiodarone, aprindine, bepridil, captopril, coumarins, diprydiamole, digoxin, flurbiprofen, furosemide, hydralazine, losinopril, methyldopa, nifedipine, phenindione, procainamide, propafenone, propranolol, quindine, ramipril, spirinolactone, thiazide diuretics, ticlopidine, vesinarine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-infective agents</td>
<td>Abacavir, acyclovir, amodiaquine, atovaquone, cephalosporins, chloramphenicol, chloroguanine, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, dapsone, etambutol, flucytosine, fusidic acid, ganciclovir, gentamicin, hydroxychloroquine, isoniazid, levamizole, lincomycin, linezolid, macrofils, mebendazole, mepacrine, metronidazole, minocycline, nitrofurantoin, norfloxacin, novobiocin, penicillins, pyrimethamine, quinine, rifampicin, streptomycin, terbinafine, tetracycline, tioacetazine, tinidazole, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (cotrimoxazole), vancomycin, zidovudine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous drugs</td>
<td>Acetazolamide, acetyltycysteine, allopurinol, amino glutethimide, arsenic compounds, benzafibrate, brompheniramine, calcium dobesilate, chloropheniramine, cimetidine, colchicine, dapsone, deferiprone, mercural diuretics, famotidine, flumadine, gold salts, glucocorticoids, glyburide, hydroxychloroquine, levodopa, mesalazine, metaprinure, methazolamide, metoclopramide, olanzapine, omeprazole, oral hypoglycemic agents (glibenclamide), penicillamine, prednisone, ranitidine, rifuzole, sulfasalazine, most sulfonamides, sulfonylureas, 3tamoxifen, thenalidine, tretinoin, triepellennamine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

β-lactams and cotrimoxazole; antithyroid drugs (16.7%); neuroleptic and anti-epileptic agents (11.8%); antiviral agents (7.9%); and platelet aggregation inhibitors (6.9%), especially ticlopidine [8]. Since 1990 and 2000, no case of noramidopyrine- and ticlopidine-induced agranulocytosis was observed, respectively. Conversely, cases of idiosyncratic agranulocytosis associated with clozapine or antiviral agents were observed only since 2000 and 2005, respectively. These findings are similar to two recent reports that incriminated the same drug families 9,10,12.

In our cohort study, two thirds of patients received more than two drugs with a mean of three drugs, accounting for the difficulty in definitively identifying the drug responsible for the agranulocytosis. In this cohort, no over “self-medication” was documented 8. No inappropriate prescribing, as the use of drug that pose more risk than benefit, the misuse of drugs by dose or duration, is also identified.

Recently, several new drugs have been listed as causative agents for severe neutropenia and agranulocytosis, e.g. acyclovir, ganciclovir, lamotrigine, terbinafine or deferiprone (http://www.mhpharmacotherapy.com/0071800530/online_pdfs/24_Dipi_Web_Ch24_359-374.pdf).

### Clinical manifestations

Initially, symptomatic patients with idiosyncratic drug-induced severe neutropenia and agranulocytosis usually present with fever, which often is the earliest and sometimes the only sign during evolution. This later is often associated with general malaise, often including chills 1,2. Symptoms may appear either immediately or insidiously, depending on the time course of neutropenia development. Symptomatic patients commonly present at discovery a non-specific sore throat, acute tonsillitis or sinusitis. More rarely, patients have first, as a not expected and brutal event, a severe deep and potentially life-threatening infection 3. It’s important to note that without medical intervention, particularly immediate antibiotics administration, natural history of agranulocytosis includes severe and potentially life-threatening infections with often signs of general sepsis and septicemia (fever, chills, hypotension...).

During the evolution, documented pneumonia (figure 1) as well as anorectal, skin or oropharyngeal infections...
and septic shock were the most reported infections. To date, classical manifestations as necrotic tonsillitis and perinea gangrene or are exceptional. Beside these “loud” manifestations, clinicians must keep in mind that the signs of these infections may be sometimes crude and atypical because of the neutropenia.

The clinical presentations recorded in our aforementioned cohort study (n=203) mainly included: isolated fever (unknown origin) (26.3%); septicemia (13.9%); documented pneumonia (13.4%); sore throat and acute tonsillitis (9.3%); and septic shock (6.7%). While in hospital, 19.2% of the patients worsened clinically and exhibited features of severe sepsis, septic shock, or systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS).

For lung specialists, it is to note that pneumonia is often asymptomatic because of the lack of neutrophil cells. Thus, it is frequent to see despite a true lung infection: no purulent sputum, few clinical sign on auscultation (few crackles), and no image on X-ray for pneumonia (figure 2). In this situation, thoracic CT-scan may be proposed with much better results. It is notable that when antibiotics are administered prophylactically, or at the beginning of this adverse event, both the patient’s complaints and the physical findings may be “masked” and fever is often the only clinical sign detected.

Conventional chest radiographs frequently fail to detect lung infiltrates at an early stage, meaning that a normal chest radiograph finding must be interpreted with caution. Thoracic CT scans provide a much higher yield and are therefore recommended in patients at risk for a complicated pulmonary infection. Lung infiltrates documented by CT scans (e.g., nodular infiltrates with or without a halo, ground-glass opacities, or cavitations with or without air crescent signs) open up a wide range of differential diagnoses, such as invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, other types of pneumonia, hemorrhage, infiltration by the underlying malignancy, drug toxicity, alveolar proteinosis, or acute respiratory distress syndrome. High-resolution techniques or magnetic resonance imaging may provide further details to help distinguish inflammatory processes from processes that may not require an antimicrobial intervention.

A causative pathogen, typically Gram-negative bacilli or Gram-positive cocci (mainly Staphylococcus spp.), was isolated in 30% of cases. Fungi are also involved as secondary infective agents (>10%), however in a few per cent of cases in regard to neutropenia related to chemotherapy. In more than 200 patients, we have observed only one case of invasive lung aspergillosis (figure 3) and one case of mucormycosis of the maxillary sinus and the lung.

Modern molecular techniques, including expanded use of galactomannan testing, have further facilitated identification of fungal pathogens, allowing for aggressive interventions that appear to improve patient outcomes.

It is worth noting, that in elderly patients, clinical manifestations were generally more severe, with septicemia or septic shock in at least two-thirds of patients in our experience, as we have previously published. It is also the case in patients with associated morbidities as chronic cardiac failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, renal failure and immune disorders. In our experience, the depth of the neutropenia impacts the severity of the clinical, manifestations.
At the opposite side, some patients (<20%) (not-well identified characteristics or profile) remained asymptomatic. This supports the case for routine monitoring of blood counts in individuals receiving high-risk medications such as antithyroid drugs or ticlopidine. This also supports a not consensual home management of such an event in certain patients (young, without medical history, with fever as the sole sign).

Differential diagnosis

Theoretically, acute neutropenia is classically diagnosed in a blood sample, resulting in an absolute neutrophil count of <0.5 x 10^9/L. In the majority of patients, the neutrophil count is under 0.1 x 10^9/L. Thus, the differential diagnosis of such acute and severe neutropenia in adults includes a limited number of conditions. In fact, the main differential diagnoses include myelodysplastic syndromes and acute leukemia. All other conditions induced moderate neutropenia, with an absolute neutrophil count between 1.5 to 0.5 x 10^9/L. These conditions mainly includes: neutropenia secondary to sepsis, particularly viral infections or bacterial infections (severe Gram negative infections with Salmonella sp., tuberculosis, Brucella sp.); and neutropenia associated with hypersplenism. Other, rarer differential diagnoses include neutropenia secondary to nutritional deficiencies, Felty’s syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus or Sjögren’s syndrome.

In the literature, severe neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count < 0.5 x 10^9/L) has been shown to be attributable to drugs in 70 to 90% of cases. Thus in practice, drug-induced neutropenia or agranulocytosis should be discussed routinely (considered in first) even if there is a context moving towards another condition. In this context, the clinician must keep in mind that in all cases, the patient’s medication history must be carefully obtained in chronological order so that the suspected agent(s) may be identified.

In our experience, bone marrow examination may not be required for all patients, but is pivotal to exclude an underlying pathology in the elderly. In patients with drug-induced agranulocytosis, the bone marrow typically shows a lack of mature myeloid cells, whereas in other cases, immature cells from the myelocyte stage are preserved. This latter appearance is described as “myeloid maturation arrest.”

Table 3: Impact factors for the prognosis* of idiosyncratic drug-induced agranulocytosis (adapted from [9,21]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age: &gt;65 years</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil count at diagnosis: ≤0.1 x 10^9/L</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical status: Deep severe infections or bacteremia or septic shock (versus isolated fever)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe underlying disease or severe co-morbidity: Renal failure, cardiac or respiratory failure, systemic auto-inflammatory diseases</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management with pre-established procedures and hematopoietic growth factor for use in severe conditions</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Prognosis: hematological recovery, duration of hospitalization and antibiotherapy, mortality
** Hematological recovery: absolute neutrophil count >1.5 x 10^9/L.
recovery time (>1.5 x 10^9/L), as: an absolute neutrophil count of <0.1 x 10^9/L at diagnosis, as well as septicemia and/or shock.  

**Supportive management**

In practice, the management of idiosyncratic drug-induced severe neutropenia and agranulocytosis begins with the immediate withdrawal of any medications, which may potentially be responsible. Thus, the patient's medication history must be carefully obtained in chronological order so that the suspected agent(s) may be identified. In our opinion, routine monitoring for agranulocytosis is required in some high-risk drugs, such as clozapine, ticlopidine and antithyroid drugs. All cases of drug-induced neutropenia must be notified to the pharmacovigilance center.

All febrile patients should be admitted to hospital, without any delay. It should be noted that as a result of neutrophil deficiency, both the patient's symptoms and the physical findings may be altered, and fever may be the only clinical sign. Important prognostic factors resulting in an increased risk of serious complications must be systematically searched.

Patients with a low risk of infection, with none of the bad factors of prognosis and good performance status, should also usually be treated in hospital, unless adequate and comprehensive medical follow-up can be provided in an ambulatory setting or at home. Nevertheless to date, several not frailty patients are managed in home with intensive supervision and monitoring.

Concomitant measures include realization of multiple microbial samples (blood, urine, stool and sputum cultures) and aggressive treatment of confirmed or potential sepsis, as well as the prevention of secondary infections. Sequential nonculture-based monitoring for invasive fungal infections, using Aspergillus antigen sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, and panfungal or Aspergillus-specific polymerase chain reaction, may add important tools in the early identification of patients who may benefit from systemic antifungal treatment.

Preventive measures include good hygiene and infection control, paying particular attention to high-risk areas such as the mouth, skin and perineum. Patient isolation and the use of prophylactic antibiotics (e.g. for the gastrointestinal tract) have been proposed, but their usefulness in limiting the risk of infection has not been documented or at least, has not been clinically proven.

The occurrence of sepsis requires prompt management, without any delay, including the administration of broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotic therapy. It's important to note that these recommendations are not validated specifically for idiosyncratic severe neutropenia or agranulocytosis but are based on the evidence based-medicine recommendations for the management of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia (field of oncology). It's also important to keep in mind that transfusion of granulocyte concentrates should only be used in exceptional circumstances, and only then for the control of life-threatening infections with antibiotic resistance - such as perineal gangrene.

**Antimicrobial therapy**

The occurrence of sepsis requires prompt management, without any delay, including the administration of broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotic therapy. In our hospital, we commonly combine in first line therapy, new cephalosporins and quinolones or aminoglycosides. Of course ureidopenicillins beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations, as carbapenems, or imipenem can be safely used in these antibiotic combinations. The addition of intravenous vancomycin or teicoplanin is considered in patients at high risk of serious gram-positive infections or after 48 hours of continued fever despite first line of antibiotics with at least cephalosporins.

When an antibiotic is suspected of being the causative agent resulting in neutropenia, one should keep in mind the potential for antibody cross-reactivity, and therefore the choice of further antibiotics to be administered should be considered very carefully.

In patients with a persistent fever despite broad-spectrum antibiotics against Gram-negative bacilli or Gram-positive cocci or systematically after 1 week of persistent fever, the addition of empirical antifungal agents should be considered, as amphotericin B or related derivates (e.g. liposomal preparation of amphotericin) and voriconazol or caspofungin.

**Usefulness of hematopoietic growth factor**

Since 1985, two-thirds of reported cases of idiosyncratic agranulocytosis have been treated with hematopoietic growth factors, especially Granulocyte-Colony Stimulating Factor (G-CSF). We do not use or recommend Granulocyte Monocyte-Colony Stimulating Factor (GM-CSF), because of lower efficiency and reported side effects as pulmonary leukostasis. To our knowledge, no data is available with pegylated G-CSF. The most recent, major studies on hematopoietic growth factors use in drug-induced agranulocytosis are described in table 4.

In our aforementioned cohort, a faster hematological recovery was observed in the hematopoietic growth factors group: 2.1 days (p=0.057) [8]. Nevertheless, we observed no other improvements in these patients associated with hematopoietic growth factors therapy, particularly relating to duration of antibiotic therapy and hospitalization. These results do not go in the same direction as those...
we had previously reported\(^2\), but are consistent with those reported in recent larger studies of hematopoietic growth factors therapy in idiosyncratic agranulocytosis patients\(^1\). Thus, for certain Hematologist the usefulness of hematopoietic growth factors remains controversy in such patients. To support this view, the only available prospective randomized study (based on 24 patients with antithyroid-related agranulocytosis) did not confirm the benefit of G-CSF\(^3\). Nevertheless, this negative result may be related to inappropriate G-CSF doses (100-200 µg/day)\(^3\). In our cohort study, we have established the long-term (mean follow-up >52 months) safety of hematopoietic growth factors, in the absence of hematological adverse events such as myelodysplasia and hematological proliferative disorders\(^24\).

Conclusions

In conclusion, for practitioners and pharmacists, especially for lung specialists, it is important to keep in mind that: (i) all drugs may be causative for idiosyncratic agranulocytosis, but mainly antibiotics (beta-lactams and cotrimoxazole), carbimazole, clozapine and ticlopidine; (ii) idiosyncratic agranulocytosis remains a potentially serious adverse event due to the frequency of severe sepsis, with pneumonia, septicemia, and septic shock in two-thirds of all hospitalized patients; (iii): old age, poor performance status, septicemia or shock, comorbidities such as renal failure, and a neutrophil count below 0.1×10\(^9\)/L have been consensually accepted as factors that impact the hematological recovery; duration of hospitalization and the outcome; and (iv) supportive management, broad-spectrum antibiotics in case of any sepsis sign and hematopoietic growth factors as G-CSF is likely to improve the prognosis, with a currently mortality rate around 5%.
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